



OHIO DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

DISTRICT 2, 317 EAST POE RD., BOWLING GREEN, OHIO 43402 Phone: 419-353-8131

December 14, 2005

PERKINS SPECIALIZED TRANSPORTATION CONTR
21035 CAMBODIA AVE
FARMINGTON, MN 55024

Re: **O.D.O.T. Project No. 01-0493, Lucas County
Contractors Evaluation (C-95)**

Dear Sir or Madam:

Enclosed is a copy of your contractor's evaluation, C-95, for this project.

The scores from the C-95 are used in the prequalification process in the determination of the amount of your dollar qualifications. Please review this document thoroughly.

Please contact the project personnel in seeking clarification and explanation of performance evaluation scores. If you need to further discuss the evaluation please contact this office. Should you still disagree with the ratings you may appeal to the Departments Prequalification Review Board:

Attn: Kerry Yoakum, Administrator
Office of Contracts
1980 West Broad Street
Columbus, Ohio 43223

The appeal to the Prequalification Review Board must be made within 10 days of receiving a performance evaluation. This will preserve your legal right to appeal while attempting to resolve the dispute with the District.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Michael T. Gramza PE/ISE".

Michael T. Gramza, P.E.
District Construction Engineer

c: Project Supervisor
File

C95 Contractor Evaluation

Project Number	District	PE/PS	Evaluation Year	Entry Date	PE/PS Approval	Office Approval
01-0493	02	MEYER D1	2005	D02GN1 12/9/2005	Y D02GN1 12/9/2005	Y D02DC2 12/12/2005
Sub/Sub Sub Number	Federal Tax ID Number	Contractor Name				
13 0	411111763	PERKINS SPECIALIZED TRANSPORTATION CONTR				
Evaluation Remarks		EVALUATION REMARKS:				
A. Organization and Management						
1. To what degree are management personnel available with full authority to execute the directions of the engineer?						10
2. To what degree are management personnel competent and effective in scheduling the work and organizing construction operations, including being punctual in starting and completing the work on the project and meeting critical intermediate phases in accordance with the progress schedule?						10
3. To what degree did management personnel have the knowledge necessary regarding specifications, plans, and the special provisions?						10
4. How adequately was the project staffed with competent workers who were monitored?						10
5. To what degree did the contractor furnish the required documentation and reports in a timely manner (i.e, Certification of materials, delivery tickets, invoices, progress schedule, shop, drawings, material sampling, early notice of time extension, potential claims, etc.)?						10
6. Did the contractor inform project personnel in advance of scheduled day to day items of work?						10
7. To what degree was the chain of authority in the department						

respected by the contractor (positive attitude toward project personnel, etc.)?	10
8. Did the contractor comply with the direction of project personnel without delay?	10
9. To what degree did the contractor cooperate with other contractors performing work on adjacent projects?	10
10. Did the contractor comply with all wage rates and labor relations, and labor regulations, and submit accurate certified payrolls and promptly pay all subcontractors and material suppliers?	10
11. Did the contractor comply with all EEO laws and regulations, and appropriate D.B.E. regulations?	10
B. Equipment	
12. Did the contractor provide the appropriate number and type of equipment necessary for performance of the work?	10
13. Did the contractor provide preventative maintenance and repairs of equipment to ensure against breakdowns which would impede the progress of the project?	10
C. Work Performance	
14. To what degree was the work site maintained in a safe and clean condition?	10
15. To what degree did the contractor maintain adequate lights, barricades, and properly trained flagpersons in accordance with Ohio Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices, traffic control plan and approved revisions?	10
16. To what degree did the contractor meet requirements including specifications, supplemental specifications, special provisions, plans, supplemental documents and erosion control with minimal instruction from the department?	10
17. To what degree did the contractor provide attention to the quality of work performed eliminating the need to remedy or remove defective work?	10
18. To what degree did the contractor properly notify and coordinate work with utility companies in protection of existing utilities?	10
19. To what degree did the contractor conduct operations to minimize	

urgencies (submittals for approval, testing of materials, etc.) or emergencies of construction (that required deviations from the plans or specifications)?	10
---	----

20. To what degree were final cleanup and punch list items complete?	10
--	----

D. Subcontractor Management

21. To what degree did the contractor coordinate work with subcontractors work?	
---	--

22. To what degree did the contractor exercise authority over subcontractors and provide notice of subcontractor work schedule?	
---	--

23. To what degree did the contractor monitor subcontractor activities to ensure approved materials were supplied and incorporated into the project?	
--	--

24. To what degree did the contractor ensure that subcontractors submitted all paperwork required for approvals, materials, and payrolls?	
---	--

Total Number of Questions Answered : 20	Total Points : 200
--	---------------------------

Greg Noble
Project Engineer/Project Supervisor

Dennis Clout PE
District Office Personnel